

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/642,813             | ROY, VIPUL NARAIN   |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Michael Kahelin        | 3762                |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowable

(1) Michael Kahelin.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dr. Vipul Roy.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2007

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Permission was granted by applicant for the examiner to draft an allowable claim. The current claim was drafted to address several 35 USC 112(2) issues and to include the present combination of elements which avoid the prior art.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 1/29/07  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)